

Low
Guss
Marion
Myung
Mal.
Pam Hale
Van Sant
Wenent
as George
WA
act

Not yet all
Hale rpts to resolve 1. War issue
with Adam B. Lang + involving State vs ICA
role

#

25X1A9a

Er Pen

Low: One principle underlying the ICA memo:
is it agreed there is an appropriate
role for WG III? I now think so as
long as it is tailored to appropriate
functions of EPAC. We must agree
what EPAC should do + then re WG role.
if I once said WG III should
decide all respon., I recede.
Rather if EPAC has a role, the
WG is proper. There is some role,
what remains to be determined.

Geog: Er Pen prob has grown in imp. +
size since 1st EPAC exploration ~~by~~
having aside whether a different
group should work on this. It seems
there is a role + EPAC can make
major contrib. in absence of other
effective entity. Whether EPAC
structure must constructive points,
but this chiefly should include
total analysis of the prob. Recommend
action + also re policies + the

reference of the package to any body
 that will listen. Maybe at the
 last point we need further
 directive. But it lets do as
much as good analysis as
possible + point it to someone
for top level action. E. Per
 can't now be separated from
 US overall pol. and, etc.,
 policy. The approach should
 be of the magnitude of the Marshall
 Plan.

Tom Agree in magnitude. But not
 ask EPAC as tail to wag dog
 of our foreign policy.
 We recognized much of the prob.
 was one for coordination within-St.
 We have sent upstairs in State
 a memo with 2 recommendations:
 (1) review of current policy & its
 adequacy to meet the challenge
 (2) select a more definitely
 assigned person for collating
 data on sov efforts
 If this done we could draw on those
 efforts within State and add to
 them or comment on them to direct
 their use to special efforts

NB connection between US Pen
 + export control program. Possible
 that as Bloc efforts expand
 worldwide, how can they deplete
 the goods from our possibly
 slender resources. Maybe a
 connection between this + relaxed
 control which would add to
 possibility of FW procurement
 for use in FW. This connection
 should be explored.

You A connection. we can look at
 implications but doubt if we can
 use parts of this prob to
 extend control activities in a
 way policy directives would not
 permit.

You the specific recommendations

#1 Starting with premiere UG III
 has a role, it needs the
 info + should arrange get
 data.

If prob of state should be
 charged with doing this, it could
 + anyhow CIR is essentially
 doing this. Doubt we need
 to instruct further as to how

This is to come + with what frequency

Manin: Yes. I read you, anything (Kramer)
OK doc.

For: We studies from other agencies
WG could ask to refs that
whenever compilations or studies
are produced, either they
get to Kramer for general
circulation or to you for
direct to WG.

Think should read "WG shall
maintain arrangements for"

Geo: We get series of docs as
revisions are made. Not satis-
fied for current needs.

Mal: Agree; we need to get the
info. Query on SIP making
available in usable form
for us.

For: This could be taken up
by Mrs. Plan Daniel. But this
can all be done under a general
instruction.

NF

we have current info + want to
 discern: day to day of Kable's whereabouts,
 who is?

Zou

If you have good info
 discern to ERAC for directed
 if you think it is of
 interest to WC, send it to
 Kramer for the WC.

Wul

What you're saying is a
 gross oversimplification.
 I emphasize this group's job is
 to pull info together, see it
 gets to right people in right
 form. Seeing who needs
 what is a job needed +
 this WC can do it.

Geo:

Helpful to have clearer
 understanding on various factors
 we'd like to have info on re
 say L.C. firm. situation. Do the
 reports cover all points we
 need to know. Maybe a form
 to cover all info needed. Maybe
 Michael Group could work this out

Low As a later step group could determine suitability of its info getting procedures.
Today we focus on implementing what to do + determine how, later

Geo But don't ignore details

Low Mr: today we consider EDAE role + assignment to the group, not "how" to do. Considering "how we get info" today.

Wants to say "We will shall arrange to get info"

Low #2 I'm not sure how clear it is 11/2/3 puts reform in E. W. community. But proper for W. W. to review policy in view role EDAE

Geo Can't before horse
A consideration any EDAE change would come after we do job + find what's needed

Joe: There is an implied, + a contentious
prob. ~~at this time~~

If anyone is kept watch on advisory
policy direction on EDA's side,
We II should

Joe: But the priority issue is to do
the job #3 better at. Let's get
to work + see what we now know.

Russ: To do S.S. #12, we'd have to
do #3.

Winnant: ~~Receiving~~ Receiving ok, but we can't
"move"

Hall: We've been invited to recommend
revision.

Joe: #2 might read

(1) "We II maintain under review
(a) Nat'l policy directives which flow
from

(1) ~~current review~~ in discharging (a) at
present we II undertake following
review: "1) new study current status" impact
on ~~for action~~ (a) in 1st paper + 2nd same
as

In disagg. of function described
in (a) above, with 1, 2, 3, review:
I (same as ICA (a); omit SP # 12.
II (same as ICA (a); see other notes on ICA paper

If our memo in State is approved,
the suitable threat for W. 6. 11 to do
is await that study + take it
from there. This will be done
rather quickly + will have
most of the info we'd need.

If this study is not
recommended, we'd have see how
update background info for work.

The study: only a broad
recognition of challenge of sov
efforts + the reaction thereof.
They plan to go State to do
the study.

Tom: #3 & #4 follow me on issue: what can we do in practice?

Have to see what FDBE can do in this problem. maybe can suggest special measure in spec. intro. But the whole problem of 4) as a task is beyond our scope so far.

Gu: This prob. as it came to us initially was modest. Now it's expanded to a major one. See my 1st comments. But I think we can become concerned about some cases to move them up ^{rank} - focus att'n on them.

Van Sant: Does this represent decreasing reliance group?

Gu: No; not in that context. Not now a job of estimating reliance but moving into action.

Tom: If these were tailored to looking at particular parts to see if ~~reliance~~ ^{reliance} + if as possible ^{greater} inferences. 1 - 6 of 1k.

(10)

Zou But can the jrp really
keep up surveillance of the
great range of cases?

Geo But this partly seeking a channel
to keep it. Then advised of
action being considered elsewhere.

Russ : Re (4) , if you struck it or
say we doesn't have an
activity is unreal. Our
words are moderate "encourage
by all real means".

Zou : seems full as energize program
promote international trade in F.W.

Russ don't mean this

Geo Agree w. Zou this could be inst.

Zou redrafts.

Wineit / Can we really do this if we catch our
other wing go along?

DeW

Van Sant has been here

Joe will be helpful if you can give
details current here in all pretense
re the job. Last statement
was that usually U.S.C. aid from
old regime. Has there been
anything more on this.

Mal I'm making that speech soon. No written
docs. Inward concern. Some
specific ~~papers~~ responses I'll try get
a ~~it~~ for you.

Tom The redraft on 3. See my draft, three
pencil. 2.

(9) approximately same

Tom [The limits on us are due just
that many items arise as just
problems & they & CCB act before
we can. It's not reasonable to
think we can keep on top all these.

Tom also, sometimes comments have only ltr directed. + we just don't get the dr.

see pgs #3

Tom #4 The situation on a particular job must be up. We minutes available. Do we need 4?

We should be a special liaison to CCB

Russ I tried to reflect idea in your memo that others were working.

I think way 3 is revised takes care of #4.

Mal. Lets invite Agric. to the WG

Tom Good

Mum Yes

Geo invite on this + anything else specific

Tom What WG III or selected mgs?

Mal: The such agenda items only.

Tom Old, arrange. They were in Geneva WG. I'll get in touch!

13

Zou: Minutes should set out recommended action

Zou: I have not focused on earlier part memo. Gues + Reuss should agree on that part. Will circulate all revised draft for comment. No entry on this draft.
 ← Please comments to Gus on phone.

Gus: If we clear this draft where does it go.
 ~~To be sent whether to EC or not~~

Zou: Send thru Chmn EC. He can phone EC unless sure if EC entry necessary.

Zou: At early mtg wk. we'll go on with next job, without writing EEC information. We can take up concerns on data available.

~~Reuss~~ Reuss: Have Reuss Panel meeting what's going on.

Ztn
25X1A9a

OIR + CIA reps should furnish
[redacted] for W6 a list of

any studies or more fragmentary
bits they have procured
over a repres. recent period.

Mat

I want to see more

Ztn

OIR circulates list of
projects

Mat
Rus

We need more of those index.
Titles don't tell what they are

Ztn

Then OIR/CIA submit present
up describing info of notes
wid work? or origin
✓ info procured or in
will (last 6 — plus
next 3 —)

BFC or Tn should

WF

We have many bits + pieces in DCN
context

Rus

This is why I want to have
Per Panel get to work + see
who has what everywhere. Also TCA/RSR
has much.

~~Now~~ ~~Let~~

Tom Let Rose start memo for next
discussion OIR/PM. - I'll
have Chairman Res. Panel (BR Wright)
available next wk

~~Let~~

Joe:

Joe: I am confused as to when
some types activity will fall.
What should be looked forward to doing

Tom Raise in EC.

~~Let~~ ~~Let's talk in~~

Joe No.

Tom: Include this in next mtg agenda
if you'd raise it first. Yes
will get John to raise issue
whether prob & belong. ...

Joe: I'll ask what we all prefer to do. - - -